Abstract

The craniofacial region, comprising the face and the skull, is largely the product of cranial neural crest (CNC) cells, in which CNC cells form most of the bone, cartilage and connective tissues. Genetic mutations, environmental factors, and nutritional deficiencies have been identified as risk factors to affect the fate of CNC cells and cause craniofacial deformities. Many signaling pathways and their interactions are involved in the formation of CNC derivatives and disturbances of these cascades are attributed as etiological agents for craniofacial pathologies. Much progress has been made in identifying causative factors associated with craniofacial anomalies; however, the etiologies of craniofacial birth defects are still largely unknown. In this review, we focus on the current understanding of the molecular networks involved in the fate determination of CNC cells during craniofacial development.
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Abbreviations

BMP: Bone Morphogenetic Protein;
CNC: Cranial Neural Crest;
EMT: Epithelial-To-Mesenchymal Transition;
FAS: Fetal Alcohol Syndrome;
FGF: Fibroblast Growth Factor;
HAT: Histone Acetyltransferases;
HDAC: Histone Deacetylase;
mRNA: microRNA;
NC: Neural Crest;
PA: Pharyngeal Arches;
r: Rhombomere;
RA: Retinoic Acid;
SHH: Sonic Hedgehog;
TCF/LEF1: T-Cell Factor/Lymphoid Enhancer-Binding Factor 1;
TGFβ: Transforming Growth Factor Beta

Introduction

The facial primordia are populated predominantly by CNC-derived cells surrounding a mesodermal core and covered with ectoderm [1]. The formation of craniofacial structures is composed of the processes of induction, delamination, migration and differentiation of CNC cells
Dysregulation of CNC development leads to congenital birth defects such as Treacher-Collins syndrome, DiGeorge syndrome, and orofacial clefts [2-4]. However, the genetic and epigenetic networks regulating CNC cells during craniofacial development are still largely unknown. Many findings of CNC cell features have been initially identified in chick embryos, which are consistent (or inconsistent in some features) with other species [5]. Because mouse craniofacial development is similar to human craniofacial development, mouse models are very useful to study craniofacial structures ( palate, jaw, skull etc.). This review seeks to provide a current understanding of the molecular networks in the fate determination of human and mouse CNC cells. Several other reviews are available that provide excellent summaries of other aspects of CNC cells [5-10].

**Fate of Cranial Neural Crest Cells**

**Origin of Neural Crest Cells**

The hindbrain is segmented along the anterior-posterior axis into compartments called rhombomeres [11]. CNC cells migrate ventrally from rhombomeres 1 to 8 (r1 to r8) into five pharyngeal arches (PAs: aka branchial arches) and the frontonasal process (Figure 1).

**Figure 1. Cranial neural crest (CNC) cell migration in the mammalian head.**

CNC cells migrate ventrally from rhombomeres 1 to 8 (r1 to r8) into the frontonasal process and five pharyngeal arches (PA1 to PA6). NC cells arise in the NC of the dorsal neural tube, a transient structure that forms the roof plate of the brain and spinal cord at the end of the first month of pregnancy in humans [12]. NC cells are a multipotent, migratory cell population that undergoes an epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) before migrating away from the developing central nervous system soon after the neural tube closes [12,13]. NC cells from the midbrain and r1 and r2 of the hindbrain migrate to the first PA, forming maxillary and mandibular bones as well as the incus and malleus bones of the middle ear and the frontonasal process generating midfacial skeleton. These NC cells from the midbrain, r1 and r2 also differentiate into the neurons of the trigeminal ganglion and the ciliary ganglion. NC cells from r4 populate the second PA, forming the hyoid bone of the neck as well as the stapes bone of the middle ear. These r4-derived NC cells also form the neurons of the facial nerve. NC cells from r6-r8 migrate into the 3rd and 4th PAs and pouches to form the hyoid bone, and the thymus, parathyroid, and thyroid glands [11,14].

**Influence of Genetic Factors during Rhombomere Formation and Patterning**

Mutations in genes involved in rhombomere formation...
and patterning cause craniofacial anomalies. For example, Otx2 null mice (Otx2/- mice) show no structure anterior to r3, indicating that Otx2 plays an essential role in the formation of the rostral head [15]. Otx2/- heterozygous mutant mice also show severe craniofacial defects [15]. Mice with disruption of Hoxa2 (Hoxa2/- mice) exhibit rhombomere 2 patterning defects [16]. Pbx genes are involved in retinoic acid (RA) synthesis during hindbrain segmentation [17]. Pbx1/Pbx2 and Hoxa1/Pbx1 double null mice display a prominent posterior expansion of r2 through gene expression of Raldh2 that is a RA biosynthetic enzyme [17].

**Induction and Specification of NC Cells**

WNT (wingless in Drosophila), fibroblast growth factor (FGF) and bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signaling plays a pivotal role in the process of NC cell induction at the neural plate border in ectoderm as neurulation begins [18,19]. Specification of NC cells is governed by transcription factors such as Msx1/2, Pax3/7, Zic1, Dlx3/5, Hairy2, Id3, and Ap2 [19,20]. These processes specify a border between the neural plate and the non-neural ectoderm. FGF and WNT signaling first induces the formation of NC progenitors at the border of the neural plate, and then BMP, WNT and Notch signaling maintains the progenitors through the expression of Snail2, Foxd3, Sox9/10,Msx1/2, Pax3/7, Zic1, Dlx3, and Hairy2 [20].

**EMT and Migration of NC Cells**

Soon after specification of NC progenitors, a second set of transcription factors, including Snail2, Foxd3, Sox9/10, Twist, c-Myc, and Ap2 play key roles in the processes of EMT and NC cell migration [20,21]. NC progenitor cells, located near the dorsal midline of the neural tube, undergo EMT to become migratory NC cells which traverse along stereotypical paths throughout the embryo [22,23]. WNT, FGF, BMP, and transforming growth factor beta (TGFβ) signaling activate transcription factors to induce EMT [24,25]. Noggin, a BMP inhibitor, prevents delamination of premigratory NC cells until Noggin transcription is downregulated in the dorsal tube, resulting in activation of BMP and consequent Wnt1 production [26]. This initiation of NC migration conventionally begins prior to neural tube closure in mammals [11]. Through the coordinated action of the Sox9, Foxd3 and Snail genes (targets of WNT signaling), it is apparent that WNT signaling is crucial for both EMT and migration [27]. Snail genes play a key role in the delamination and migration of NC cells [28]. The absence of Snail2 leads to the accumulation of epithelial cells and results in a failure of NC cell migration [29]. In humans, deletion of SNAI2 (aka SLUG) or Sox10 leads to Waardenburg syndrome although Slug is not essential for facial development in mice [30-32].

**Differences between Cranial and Trunk NC Cells**

Cranial and trunk NC cells are differently regulated in EMT, cell migration, and differentiation [33]. During migration of CNC cells, and even after arrival at their final destination, CNC cells migrate superficially and interact with the adjacent surface ectoderm, neuroectoderm and endoderm during craniofacial development [34,35]. Although CNC cells acquire their anterior-posterior identities before migration, the patterning and the fate of CNC cells is regulated by reciprocal signals from a niche in which CNC cells thrive mainly at a post-migratory stage [34,36,37]. For example, BMP and WNT signaling promotes the fate of sensory neurons [38,39]. Endothelin and WNT signaling stimulates CNC cells to differentiate into melanocytes in skin and adrenergic neurons [38,40]. In contrast to the other NC cells, CNC cells can give rise to odontoblasts, osteoblasts and chondrocytes in addition to the other cell types that trunk NC cells can differentiate into [33,41]. CNC cells migrate into the head and neck and form a wide array of derivatives, including craniofacial bones and cartilages, odontoblasts, melanocytes, connective tissue of the face and neck, peripheral and enteric nerves, smooth muscle and endocrine cells etc. (Figure 3) [11,22,23].

**Molecular Mechanisms during Fate Determination of CNC Cells**

Growth factor signaling has a wide range of impacts on the control of cell proliferation, differentiation and migration. These signaling activities are tightly regulated during development and the alternation causes birth defects. Especially this is so true for craniofacial development because CNC cells are very sensitive (partly because they are multipotent cells?) to growth factors. Thus, it is very
important to know the appropriated regulation of growth factor signaling in CNC cells.

**TGFβ Signaling**

TGFβ activates SMAD2/3 to control downstream target genes [42]. During embryogenesis, TGFβ signaling regulates a vast range of biological functions including cell proliferation, differentiation, and extracellular matrix formation [43-45]. In NC cells, TGFβ is responsible for initiation of EMT and plays a role in the invasion and migration of NC cells [42,46]. TGFβ is also important for later developmental stages in CNC derivative tissues such as skull, maxilla, palate, mandibuler, and tongue [47-49].

**BMP Signaling**

BMPs, members of the TGFβ superfamily of signaling proteins, are important for craniofacial development [50,51]. BMP ligands bind to type I and type II receptors for BMP, leading to activation of SMAD1/5/8 [52]. BMP signaling, activated at the neural plate border, plays a role in NC cell induction [53]. Thereafter, BMP has multiple roles including the axial patterning, left-right symmetry, and cell-fate determination of NC cells [18,54]. BMP signaling regulates craniofacial skeletal formation by balancing self-renewal and differentiation of CNC progenitor cells [55-58]. In addition, the BMP antagonist Noggin plays multiple roles including cell proliferation and differentiation in CNC development [59].

**FGF Signaling**

The FGF signaling pathway is involved in several aspects of craniofacial development [60]. For example, FGF signaling plays a role in the fate determination of CNC cells during chondrogenesis [61]. Mutations in FGF signaling molecules lead to craniofacial malformations including midfacial anomalies and craniosynostosis [62]. Loss of Fgβ results in a failure of bone and cartilage development [63-65].

**WNT Signaling**

WNT ligands initiate the translocation of β-catenin to the nucleus, and then β-catenin regulates gene expression of targets together with transcription factors of the T-cell factor/lymphoid enhancer-binding factor 1 (TCF/LEF1) family [66]. WNT/β-catenin signaling controls multiple steps of NC development, ranging from NC induction, migration, and proliferation, to differentiation [67]. Disruption of WNT/β-catenin signaling in CNC cells (CtnnbF/F;Wnt1-Cre mice) leads to severe craniofacial deformities due to defects in cell survival and/or differentiation [68]. WNT1, WNT3A and WNT8 can induce canonical WNT/β-catenin signaling. In contrast, the non-canonical (β-catenin-independent) pathway, comprised of WNT4, WNT5A and WNT11, can activate the planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway which guides cell movement during embryogenesis [21].

**Sonic Hedgehog Signaling**

Sonic hedgehog (SHH), which is expressed in the foregut endoderm, facial ectoderm and neuroepithelium, is a morphogen that is critical for specification, proliferation, survival and patterning of NC cells [39]. Mice with deficiency of GlI3, a mediator for SHH signaling, exhibit ectopic ossification in the interfrontal suture together with craniosynostosis; this phenocopies the severe craniofacial malformations characteristic of Grieg cephalopolysyndactyly syndrome [69]. The conditional deletion of Smoothened receptor in NC cells results in decreased proliferation and increased apoptosis [70]. Recent studies indicate that disruption of primary cilia formation expands SHH signaling activity [71].

**Endothelin Signaling**

Endothelin-1 (Edn1/ET1) is a small ligand that is secreted from the ventral portion of the PAs [72]. Endothenin-1 signaling through G protein-coupled endothelin A receptor (Ednra), which is expressed in NC cells, is important for NC cell dorsal-ventral patterning, especially in the first PA [72,73]. Loss of Edn1, Ednra or Ece1 (an endothelin converting enzyme which converts latent Edn1 to the active form) results in craniofacial defects, following disruption of the patterning and differentiation of NC cells [73]. Constitutive activation of Ednra throughout the pharyngeal mesenchyme induces the replacement of the maxillary components by a second set of mandibular elements, resulting from a disruption of Edn1/Ednra-Dlx5/6-Hand2 signaling pathway [74]. Mutations in genes involved in the endothelin pathway lead to auriculocondylar syndrome [75].

**Notch Signaling**

Notch proteins are trans-membrane proteins that play roles in NC cell migration, differentiation, and fate determination through cell-cell interactions [76]. Following ligand binding, Notch receptors are cleaved to trigger Notch pathway activation [77,78]. Mutations in JAGGED1, a ligand in the Notch signaling pathway, cause Alagille syndrome which is characterized by biliary, cardiac and craniofacial anomalies [79,80]. Mice with a conditional deletion of Jagged1 in CNC cells exhibit midfacial anomalies that recapitulate the midfacial hypoplasia of Alagille syndrome [81].

**Crosstalk among Growth Factor Signaling Pathways**

Recent studies indicate that crosstalk among growth factor signaling pathways is important for CNC development [44,73,82,83]. For instance, BMP is required to induce...
endothelin signaling in patterning the dorsal-ventral axis of the craniofacial skeleton [84]. TGFβ signaling interacts with FGF and SHH signaling during craniofacial development [49,85]. Primary cilia integrate hedgehog and WNT signaling during tooth development [86]. Within networks of cell signaling pathways (cell autonomous and non-cell autonomous mechanisms), both genetic and epigenetic factors influence the fate determination of CNC cells.

MicroRNAs Involved in the Fate Determination of CNC Cells

Despite advances in human genome sequencing technology, the causes of nearly 70 percent of all birth defects still remain unknown [87]. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are short (~22 nucleotides) noncoding RNA molecules that regulate gene expression at the post-transcriptional level and fine-tune the expression of ~30% of all mammalian protein-encoding genes [88]. MiRNA expression is regulated in a temporal and spatial specific manner to regulate hundreds of different mRNAs and control the fate of CNC cells. Recent studies indicate that loss of all mature miRNAs in CNC cells results in increased apoptosis and defects in osteogenic differentiation, causing severe craniofacial deformities in mice [89-91].

Epigenetic Factors Involved in the Fate Determination of CNC Cells

Posttranslational modifications of histones by acetylation, phosphorylation, methylation, and sumoylation have been demonstrated to regulate craniofacial development. Mutations in genes affecting histone acetylation and deacetylation, which is catalyzed by histone acetyltransferases (HATs: ex. MYST4) and histone deacetylases (HDACs), result in orofacial cleft [92-94]. Mutations in demethylation, which is regulated by histone demethylase (ex. KDM6A, PHF8), and mutations in sumoylation (SUMO1) also result in orofacial clefts [95,96]. HDAC8 specifically controls patterning of the skull by repressing a number of homeobox transcription factors in CNC cells [94]. In humans, a chromosomal translocation disrupting the MYST4 HAT results in a Noonan-syndrome-like phenotype including craniofacial deformities [92].

Environmental Risk Factors

In addition to above genetic factors, there are some environmental risk factors probable contributing to CNC development [97]. For example, cigarette smoking with its associated hypoxia, maternal consumption of alcohol, diets deficient in zinc, myoinositol, and folic acid have been considered as risk factors for craniofacial birth defects [97-99]. Mouse models for fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS) demonstrate an anterior neural plate deficiency, which leads to close approximation of olfactory placodes towards the midline [100]. Imbalances in RA levels, either through disruption of RA synthesis or exposure to excessive RA in utero, have also likewise been noticed in cases of craniofacial anomalies [101].

Perspective

One of the interesting observations is that some mouse models and human syndromes have severe malformations specifically in the craniofacial region [88]. These results suggest that CNC cells are more sensitive to aberrations than are cells from other regions during embryogenesis. Because CNC cells can more efficiently produce bone, cartilage, and connective tissues in craniofacial regions than bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells [102], it is important to understand the fundamental biology in CNC cells. The principles learned from mouse models promise to be fertile ground for future studies of craniofacial development, and will provide strategies for craniofacial tissue regeneration and therapy. In addition, identification of target molecules may provide the basis for genetic tests and proper nutrient intake recommendations aimed at identifying higher-risk pregnancies and prevention of craniofacial anomalies. Given the early developmental onset of structural birth defects, preventive treatment could be effective to reduce its impact on both human health and economy.
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